UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,709	04/14/2004	Hiroyoshi Tsuruta	ED-US020438	5227
22919 7590 09/21/2007 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP			EXAMINER	
1233 20TH ST	REET, NW, SUITE 700		JOHNSON, MATTHEW A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) TSURUTA ET AL. 10/823,709 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Matthew Johnson 3682 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Matthew Johnson-Assistant Examiner. M. (3) Todd Guise-46, 748. (2) David Fenstermacher-Primary Examiner. Date of Interview: 14 September 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 17. Identification of prior art discussed: Suzuki et al. (USPGpub-20020019262) and Jackel et al. (USPGpub-20010004956). Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

#V368Z_

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Examiner's signature, if required

DAVID FENSTERMACHER

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the phrase "a damper mechanism being configured to connect elastically said flywheel to a crankshaft" in relation to the prior art. Discussed a proposed claim amendment regarding, "a protrusion projecting from the crankshaft in an axial direction" in an attempt to overcome the prior art of record. The proposed amendment does not appear to overcome the rejections. Discussed claim 17 regarding the attachment of the input member to the crankshaft in relation to the prior art.